

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(103)

CWP-5011-2020

Date of Decision : July 25, 2022

Deepika Sharma and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryan and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Vijay Pal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that in the present case, the respondents have fixed two pay scales to be granted to the Assistant Professors who are working as Extension Lecturers. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the Extension Lecturers, who are qualified as per the rules governing the service, have been granted higher pay scale whereas, the said benefit has been declined to certain Lecturers on the ground that they do not fulfil the qualification as prescribed under the list. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that in the present case, the petitioners fulfil the qualification required under the rules but still, they are not being paid the salary admissible to the qualifying Extension Lecturers i.e. Rs.57,700/- and therefore, the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the petitioners the salary admissible as per their entitlement.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that for the grievance which has been raised in the present petition, the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 09.01.2020 (Annexure P-14), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents raises no objection in deciding the representation of the petitioners dated 09.01.2020 (Annexure P-14) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioners for the relief which has been claimed by them in the representation dated 09.01.2020 (Annexure P-14), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 09.01.2020 (Annexure P-14) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

July 25, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No