

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(273)

CWP No. 5646-2021

Date of Decision : 16.05.2022

Surinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, D.A.G., Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the petitioner is entitled for fixation of his pension, which should be minimum of the pay in the revised pay scale in the cadre of Inspector but keeping in view the direction given by this Court in CWP No. 25733 of 2012 titled as *A.P. Sharma and others Vs. State of Punjab and others*, decided on 22.10.2013 though, the pay has already been fixed but the arrears of the revised pension have not been released to the petitioner, which is totally arbitrary and illegal.

Keeping in view the reply filed by the respondents in Court today, the respondents have mentioned that the case of the petitioner was sent to the Accountant General for the revised pension and the revised pension has already been sanctioned by enhancing the same from ₹8110/- to

Keeping in view the above submissions, as the respondents have considered the claim of the petitioner, let the arrears of the pension for which the petitioner has become entitled for, be also released to the petitioner within a period of six weeks from the receipt of copy of this order, if not already released.

May 16, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No