

[115] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.747 of 2020
Date of Decision : 20.04.2022

Sunita Kumari ...Petitioner

versus

Sanjay Kumar, IAS, Secretary, Deptt. of Local
Government, Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. D.K. Singal, Advocate for respondent No.3.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 20.05.2019 in CWP No.13300 of 2019 in case titled as 'Sunita Kumari versus State of Punjab and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that while issuing notice of motion in CWP No.13300 of 2019 on 20.05.2019, the respondents were directed to permit the petitioner to continue in employment and not to replace her by another contractual appointee.

[3] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case and on 25.02.2020, counsel for respondent No.3 had sought adjournment to obtain instructions and to file affidavit of respondent No.3.

[4] Today, learned counsel for respondent No.3 on instructions from respondent No.3 states that the petitioner has been allowed to continue in service in terms of order dated 20.05.2019.

statement of learned counsel for respondent No.3, he does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[6] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

20.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>