

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.201

CRM-M-10160-2022

Date of decision: 03.08.2022

Sukhveer Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Ashok Bhardwaj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Gunkirat Kaur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. S.S. Gill, Advocate, for respondent No.2.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.153 dated 30.11.2021 registered under Section 498-A IPC at Police Station Sadar Sangrur.

On March 10, 2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case due to a marital discord between him and the complainant-his wife; the petitioner has no other criminal antecedents; the complainant has got lodged the FIR in question primarily on a suspicion that the petitioner was having extra marital affair which suspicion has been inquired into by the police and found to be false; the allegations with regard to demand of dowry are absolutely vague and unsubstantiated; the allegations of beatings are not supported by any medical evidence and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation.

Notice of motion for 03.08.2022.

Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and prays for time to argue the matter.

Respondent No.2 be served through ordinary process.

In the meanwhile, subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.153 dated 30.11.2021 registered under Section 498-A IPC at Police Station Sadar, Sangrur, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 10.03.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

August 03, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No