

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 10441 of 2022

Date of decision: 10.3.2022

Nand Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Surinder Kaur

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Manjinder Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioner.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

(Through video conferencing)

1. After arguing for sometime, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.
2. Permission granted.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
4. However, all the permissible defences, as are available to be resorted to by the petitioner, before the learned Magistrate concerned, and, appertaining to the issuance of the dishonour negotiable instruments concerned, rather not appertaining to the discharging of legal, and, also contractual liability, of the petitioner, to the respondent-complainant. In furthering the afore defences, it is also permissible for the petitioner-accused, to, if legally permissible, adduce either, upon, conducting cross-examination(s) upon the complainant witnesses concerned, or to in his defence, when he steps into the witness box as a defence witness, to adduce into the evidence, rather the FIR lodged at the police station concerned, enclosing therein a narration that the issuance of the dishonoured negotiable instrument, was an abuse made by the respondent-complainant, as they were stolen.

**(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE**

March 10, 2022

Gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No