

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

267

CRWP-2174-2022
Date of Decision: 24.08.2022

Shakuntala Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Amit Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, D.A.G., Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate;
Mr. Shubham Verma, Advocate and
Mr. Sumit Gujjar, Advocate for respondent No.4.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the interregnum, threat perception no more subsists, as such, he would not be pressing his prayer No. 1 for protection. Regarding prayer No. 2, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied if his representation dated 28.10.2021 (Annexure P-2) be decided in the time bound manner.

At this stage, learned State counsel submits that investigation is going on and they are waiting for the report of FSL.

The prayer being innocuous, the same is allowed.

Given above, respondent No. 2-Superintendent of Police, Yamuna Nagar to decide the representation dated 28.10.2021 (Annexure P-2) by passing a speaking order within 30 working days from today.

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, she shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

24.08.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No