

115

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.5100 of 2022
Date of Decision: 29.03.2022**

Neetu Rani		 Petitioner
	<i>Versus</i>	
State of Punjab and ors.		 Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present:- Mr. Kanav Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, *inter-alia*, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to appoint the petitioner in government service with all consequential benefits as per policy dated 08.11.2011 (P-1) which was amended vide guidelines dated 28.02.2014/03.03.2014 (P-5).

At the outset, learned counsel, on instructions from the petitioner submitted that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case the legal notice dated 29.01.2022(P-10) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

Ms. Maloo Chahal, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents, and raises no objection against the innocuous prayer of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, this writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.3-Deputy Commissioner, Mansa, to consider and decide the aforesaid legal notice dated 29.01.2022 (P-10) in accordance with law by passing speaking order within a period of 04 (four) weeks from the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure on the part of competent authority, petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of this writ petition.

29.03.2022
sanjay

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>