

CRM-M-10747-2022

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10747-2022
Reserved on: 22.08.2022
Pronounced on: 27.09.2022

Hakmuddin @ Hakam ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Mazlish Khan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Farukh Abdullah, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
224	19.06.2020	Ferozepur Jhirka, Distt. Nuh (Haryana)	302 IPC (Section 120-B IPC added later on)

1. The petitioner, incarcerated upon his arrest in the FIR captioned above, came up before this Court under Section 439 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (CrPC) seeking bail.
2. In paragraph 19 of the bail petition, the accused declares that he has no criminal antecedents.
3. The petitioner allegedly took the deceased with him and after that his dead body was recovered.
4. Ld. Counsel for the petitioner contends that the pre-trial incarceration would cause an irreversible injustice to the petitioner and family.
5. Ld. counsel representing the State opposes bail. The contention of behalf of the complainant is that the petitioner is not entitled to bail given the gravity of the offence.

REASONING:

6. The petitioner is prima facie connected with murder by the evidence of last seen, call details, and tower location. An analysis of the allegations and evidence collected

CRM-M-10747-2022

does not warrant the grant of bail to the petitioner. The offence is grave and heinous.

7. Any observation made hereinabove is neither an expression of opinion on the merits of the case nor shall the trial Court advert to these comments.

Petition dismissed. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

27.09.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.