

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(120)

CRR-740-2022

Date of decision : 27.09.2022

Sikandar Khan

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Diwan S.Adlakha, Advocate for the petitioner.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant criminal revision petition has been filed under Section 401 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short “the Code”), challenging order dated 14.12.2021 passed by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, (Exclusive Court for heinous crimes against Women), Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri, whereby, the application under Section 319 of the Code filed by complainant-respondent No.2, has been partly allowed by summoning the petitioner as an additional accused to face the trial in FIR No.11 dated 22.01.2021, registered for offences under Sections 323, 376, 377, IPC, however, Sections 342, 354, 376-D, 400, 506, 120-B, IPC, were deleted, later on, at Police Station Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been acquitted and the petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE**

27.09.2022

Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No