

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-11311 of 2022

Date of Decision: 07.07.2022

Sahil @ Ladoo

..... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Abhishek Chha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. N.S.Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.23, dated 14.06.2021 under Sections 34, 379A and further added 411 of the IPC, registered at Police Station GRP Chandigarh, District GRP Ambala.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody from 13.11.2021 and the investigation of the case has been completed. He submitted that the complainant during the course of deposition before the trial Court identified the other two accused namely Rahul @ Mungi and Mohd. Bilal but so far as the present petitioner is concerned he stated that he was not sure whether the same person was the petitioner or not. He submitted that the aforesaid two persons Rahul @ Mungi and Mohd. Bilal have already been granted bail by the trial court. He therefore prayed for the grant of the regular bail.

Mr. N.S.Panwar, DAG, Haryana has stated that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody from 13.11.2021. He has further opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is also involved

in one more case under Sections 380 and 457 of IPC.

I have heard the learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody from 13.11.2021 and while deposing before the learned trial Court the complainant had properly identified the other two co-accused namely Rahul @ Mungi and Mohd. Bilal who have already been granted bail by the learned trial Court and so far as the present petitioner is concerned he stated that he was not sure with regard to the present petitioner. The pendency of another FIR against the petitioner cannot become a ground for denial of the bail.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this court deems it fit to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant only for the purpose of decision of present petition

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

07.07.2022
Sunil Devi

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable:	Yes/No