

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.2342 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.03.2022**

Sukhjeet Kaur and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Balsher Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

The petitioners have approached this Court seeking protection of their life and liberty on the premise that petitioner No.1 has performed marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her parents and other family members and the petitioners are apprehending threat to their life and liberty at their instance.

Counsel for the petitioners has relied upon the affidavit of petitioner No.1 – Sukhjeet Kaur (Annexure P5) wherein she has stated that she is earning Rs.12,000/- per month by doing the job of ladies tailor and her son Ekamjot Singh is entitled to get inheritance from the estate of her deceased husband Navjot Singh and she owe the responsibility and upbringing of her son. Similarly, the petitioner No.2 Kewal Singh in his affidavit (Annexure P-6) has deposed that he has solemnized the marriage with petitioner No.1 and he has taken the responsibility to look after the life of Ekamjot Singh and provide education, shelter and all day-to-day basic needs to him. It is also stated that he is having his own house along with a small ancestral agricultural

land and Ekamjot Singh will live with him in the house and he will treat him as his son. It is further stated that he is working as an Electrician and his income is Rs.15,000/-.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that a representation dated 03.03.2022 was made to the Superintendent of Police, Bathinda (Annexure P7), seeking necessary protection but no action has been taken so far in the matter.

Heard.

Without examining the question of validity or otherwise of marriage of the petitioners, the present petition stands disposed of with a direction to the Superintendent of Police, Bathinda to look into grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation (Annexure P7) and take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

The Superintendent of Police, Bathinda – respondent No.2 is further directed to convene a meeting between the petitioners and the parents of petitioner No.1, if so required. It is further directed that petitioner No.1 will submit the complete address of her parents along with the mobile phone numbers to enable respondent No.2, to comply with the directions.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

16.03.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No