

**224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10309-2022

Date of decision :14.07.2022

Rinku

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: R.K. Agnihotri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kirpal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C., for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.278 dated 15.08.2018, under Sections 365, 511 of IPC (Sections 323, 376 (3), 376(D), 376 (DA), 366, 366-A, 506 of IPC and Sections 4 and 18 POCSO Act 2012 added later on), registered at Police Station Madhuban, District Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is behind bars since 8th October 2018 and the trial is not concluded yet.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is involved in heinous offence where there are two victims out of them one was 16 years old and other was 19 years of age. He submits that

both the victims have duly supported their case in their statements recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. as well as while appearing in the witness box. He further submits that in all there are 23 prosecution witnesses out of which 18 witnesses have already been examined and only five formal witnesses remain to be examined. The next date before the trial court is 21st July 2022.

Taking into the facts and circumstances of the present case and the submission made by learned State counsel this Court do not find it appropriate stage to grant bail. However, keeping in view the long incarceration of the petitioner the trial court is requested to expedite the trial and conclude the same expeditiously.

Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

14.07.2022
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No