

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 4894 OF 2022

DATE OF DECISION: 18.04.2022

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : Mr. Narender Singh Kamboj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharan Sethi, Addl. AG, Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner is before this Court impugning the final result dated 17.02.2022 (Annexure P-5), having been unsuccessful on the post of T.B. Health Visitor (Cat. No.7),qua which he had applied in BCA category. The petitioner claimed that he had secured more marks than the last selected candidate in the category he had applied. He asserts that private respondent No.4 who has secured 53 marks has been selected despite being lower in merit, as the petitioner has secured more marks than him.

2. On the previous date of hearing, on advance service, learned State counsel had appeared and sought time to apprise this Court qua the marks obtained by petitioner in the written examination and additional marks awarded to him, if any, under socio-economic criteria.

3. On the resumed hearing today, learned State counsel submits that per his instructions, the marks obtained by the petitioner are irrelevant since at the time of scrutiny of documents itself, he was found ineligible. Learned State counsel submits that as per advertisement minimum/essential educational qualification required per Clause 2.1 thereof is as below :

“Cat. No.7 8 Posts of T.B Health Visitor (Re-Advertised)

Xxx

Essential Qualification :

- 1. Matric with Science (Physics & Chemistry).***
- 2. Qualified Tuberculosis Health Visitor from any institution recognized by Government; and***
- 3. Hindi/Sanskrit as one of the subject in Matriculation or Higher.”***

4. Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner did not submit requisite documents as required aforesaid and therefore, was found ineligible.

5. In any case, the eligibility criteria adopted by the respondents as prescribed in the advertisement is not under challenge before this Court. Being so, no ground for interference by this court is made out.

6. Dismissed.

APRIL 18, 2022
Shalini

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No