

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.10365 of 2022
Reserved on: 27.07.2022
Pronounced on: 30.09.2022

Deepak and othersPetitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. P.S. Sullar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kanhiya Soni, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
01	01.01.2022	Julana, District Jind	323, 365, 34, 506 IPC (Sections 379-B, 420, 406 IPC added subsequently)

The petitioners, arraigned as accused in the above captioned FIR, have come up before this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved person.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved person have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-2.
3. After that, the petitioners came up before this Court to quash the FIR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved person as respondent.
4. On 21.03.2022, the aggrieved person Kimat Sain (R-2) appeared before the JMIC, Jind and stated that there would be no objection if the court quashes this FIR and consequent proceedings. As per the concerned court's report , the parties consented to the quashing of FIR and consequent proceedings without any threat.

ANALYSIS & REASONING:

5. However, a status report was filed before the Court as per which investigation into the given FIR has unearthed money laundering scam and bank accounts of several other persons are involved who are likely cheated by the acts of petitioners. The petitioners have not arraigned all concerned persons.
6. Since all the victims did not state about their no objection to the compromise and also the reasons which led to the compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.
7. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the already examined aggrieved and the accused, whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements alongwith report would suffice.

Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

30.09.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.