

216/4

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-9933-2022
Date of decision-17.03.2022**

Raju

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. S.P. Singh, Advocate for
Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in cross version recorded vide rapat No.37 dated 09.06.2021 in case FIR No.81 dated 07.06.2021 under Sections 341, 324, 323, 506, 148 and 149 (later on added Section 307) of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar, Kotkapura, District Faridkot, who apprehends his arrest at the hands of Police.

On 09.03.2022, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is being implicated in cross version recorded through DDR No.37 dated 09.06.2021 and the injury punishable under Section 307 IPC is attributed to his co-accused, namely, Dharampreet Singh. He has further placed

reliance upon the order dated 21.12.2021 (Annexure P-3) passed in CRM-M-52527-2021 passed in respect of co-accused, who have already been extended the concession of pre arrest bail.

Notice of motion for 17.03.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

To be heard along with CRM-M-52527-2021.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated himself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel who is instructed by SI Lakhwinder Singh states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 09.03.2022 is made absolute.

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

17.03.2022
geeta

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No

