

CRM-M-10010-2022 (O&M)

Through video conference

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-10010-2022 (O&M).

Decided on: March 14, 2022.

Manpreet @ Pinki

.. Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and another

.. Respondents

*** * ***

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

*** * ***

**PRESENT Mr.Amit Choudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioner.**

Mr.Ranvir Singh Arya, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

**Mr.Gaurav Gupta, Advocate,
for complainant – respondent No.2.**

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, seeking regular bail in FIR No.173 dated 26.7.2021, under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Bhuna, District Fatehabad.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 28.12.2021 and the subject matter of the FIR pertains to financial dispute between the parties. He

CRM-M-10010-2022 (O&M)

submitted that investigation of the case is already complete and challan stands presented before the competent Court. He submitted that the financial dispute has been settled amicably and a compromise has also been effected between the parties and since no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner, no useful purpose would be served in case the petitioner is kept in custody for a longer time and therefore, he may be considered for the grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has submitted that it is correct that the petitioner is in custody since 28.12.2021 and after completion of investigation, challan has been presented qua the petitioner.

At this stage, Mr.Gaurav Gupta, Advocate, who has caused appearance on behalf of the complainant - respondent No.2, has also submitted that it is correct that the financial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner is in custody since 28.12.2021 and the investigation of the case is already complete and challan stands presented before the competent Court. Further, as per learned counsel for the petitioner, learned State counsel and learned counsel for the complainant, the financial dispute has been amicably settled between the parties by a compromise. Furthermore, it is not the case of the State that in case the petitioner is released on bail then he may influence any witness or may tamper with any evidence or may flee from justice. Therefore, this Court deems it fit and proper to grant regular bail to the petitioner. Accordingly,

CRM-M-10010-2022 (O&M)

the present petition is allowed. It is ordered that the petitioner shall be released on bail on furnishing bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is meant only for the purpose of decision of present petition.

March 14, 2022.
raj arora

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No