

Dharminder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Balwinder Singh

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present: Mr. Ranjivan Singh, Advocate with
Ms. Kanika Toor, Advocate for the petitioner.Mr. M.J.S. Bedi, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of judgment, Annexure P/1 dated 26.10.2021 and order Annexure P/3 dated 17.02.2021, in CRM-M-45677-2019.

2. A perusal of judgment / order Annexures P/1 and P/3 reveals that the petition for pre-arrest bail filed by the respondent was allowed by taking into account the statement of learned counsel for the respondent that FIR being outcome of a monetary dispute between the parties had been compromised and the respondent had paid a sum of Rs.13,00,000/- and only interest remained outstanding which the respondent would pay to the petitioner (complainant) within three months.

3. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that pursuant to the issuance of notice, the respondent has made payment to

is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent, under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

26.05.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No