

[137] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.425 of 2022

Date of Decision : 04.04.2022

Amit Chaudhary

...Petitioner

versus

Anand Mohan Sharan, IAS, Addl. Chief Secretary
to Govt. of Haryana, Higher Education Department,
Chandigarh and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Meghna Nehra, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Kumar Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating action against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order (Annexure P-1) dated 14.01.2022 in CWP No.530 of 2022 in case titled as 'Amit Chaudhary versus State of Haryana and others'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.530 of 2022 was disposed of by directing the competent authority to decide representation dated 20.12.2021 by passing a speaking order within one week of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Pursuant to notice issued to respondent No.2 to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him, learned DAG, Haryana, has placed on record order dated 01.04.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner as made in

representation dated 20.12.2021. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who states that in the circumstances, she does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order dated 01.04.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner, as prayed for.

[5] *Rule discharged.*

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

04.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No