

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-10016-2022 (O & M)

Date of decision: 31.08.2022

Harjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Jasraj Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. IPS Sabharwal, DAG Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case bearing FIR No.263 dated 14.12.2021, registered at Police Station City, District Hoshiarpur, under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468 and 471 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case; that the petitioner had not forged any document; that the petitioner identified co-accused Manohar Singh, who had moved an application for acceptance of surety bonds in complaint case titled as 'Baljinder Kaur Vs. Hardev Singh', and that the petitioner has nothing to do with surety-Jaspreet Singh and Manjit Singh Lambardar. He further contends that property of surety Jaspreet Singh had been identified by some Manjit Singh Lambardar. He further contends that the petitioner has been in custody since 28.01.2022, and that charges are yet to be framed.

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the above-noted FIR was registered on the basis of a letter written by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hoshiarpur; that surety bonds furnished by co-accused Manohar Singh, were attested by Manjit Singh, being Lambardar of village Manawala while the surety was witnessed by the petitioner; that however during inquiry, it was found that signatures of Patwari and Tehsildar on the Fard/jambandi for the year 2014-15, submitted by co-accused, Jaswinder Singh, were found to be fake, and that there was no person in the name of Manjit Singh as a Lambardar of village Manawala.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the case of the prosecution, co-accused Manohar Singh had furnished his bail/surety bonds in a criminal case in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Hoshiarpur; that Jaspreet Singh had furnished surety bonds on behalf of Manohar Singh; that the surety bonds were attested by Manjit Singh, being a Lambardar of village Manawala while the surety had been witnessed by the petitioner; that however during inquiry, signatures of Patwari and Tehsildar on the jamabandi submitted by the surety, had been found to be fake; that it was also found that there was no person in the name of Manjit Singh as Lambardar of village Manawala, and that identity card of the so-called Lambardar, was also found to be fake.

There are serious allegations against the petitioner that he alongwith co-accused had forged the documents and used the same as genuine.

Keeping in view the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition.

Dismissed.

31.08.2022
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No