

101.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10026-2022

Date of Decision: 10.03.2022

SATISH KUMAR

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Davinder Singh Khurana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

This is second petition that has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.174, dated 11.12.2021, registered under Sections 419, 420 IPC at Police Station Navi Baradari, District Police Commissionerate Jalandhar.

The earlier petition i.e. CRM-M-4906-2022 was dismissed as withdrawn vide order dated 07.02.2022.

Counsel for the petitioner would pray for grant of anticipatory bail by contending that similarly situated persons have been granted anticipatory bail by the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jalandhar and therefore, he is entitled to parity. However, he is unable to point out any change in circumstances to entitle the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in a second bail petition. In *G.R. Anand Babu Versus State of Tamil Nadu*

and another-2021(1) R.C.R. (Criminal) 843, it has been categorically held by the Supreme Court that consecutive bail applications are not to be entertained.

Accordingly, present petition stands dismissed.

10.03.2022

sanjeev

**(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No