

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-4664-2022

Date of Decision :09.03.2022

Bala Devi

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. R.K. Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh Brar, Addl. A.G, Haryana

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking direction to the respondents to grant the benefit of regularization to the petitioner w.e.f. 04.02.2004 instead of 01.04.2011 i.e. the date when the service of respondent No.4, who is junior to the petitioner, was regularised, with all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that though, the respondent No.4 was appointed after a period of seven years of the appointment of the petitioner but, his service was regularised on 04.02.2004 and once the benefit of regularization of service is extended to the person junior to the petitioner, the petitioner who is senior to respondent No.4 is also entitled for the grant of same benefit of regularization of service with

all consequential benefits.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that for the claim, which is being raised in the present writ petition, the petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 12.06.2021 (Annexure P/4) with the respondents, which is still pending consideration with them and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.3 to decide the said legal notice by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh Brar, Addl. A.G. Haryana who has joined the proceedings through video conference accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding legal notice dated 12.06.2021 (Annexure P/4) submitted by the petitioner in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in legal notice dated 12.06.2021 (Annexure P/4), respondent No.3 is directed to decide legal notice 12.06.2021 (Annexure P/4) submitted by the petitioner to them by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

March 09, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No