

CRM-M-9843-2022

Date of decision: 18.05.2022

NIDHI SHAH @ JONY AND ANR.

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

UT, CHANDIGARH AND ANR.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURIPresent: Mr. Rahil Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Vasundhara Anand, Addl. P.P., for UT, Chandigarh.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are seeking to quash the FIR No. 192 dated 26.09.2020 under Sections 354-D/506/120-B IPC, Section 12 of POCSO Act and 25/54/59 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Sector-34, Chandigarh on the basis of compromise.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contend that respondent No.2 and the victim have backed out from the compromise and he seeks to withdraw the the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

18.05.2022
renubala(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGEWhether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No