

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(123)

CWP No. 4850 of 2020

Date of Decision : 14.03.2022

Kuldip Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is with respect to non-grant of promotion to the post of Superintendent Grade-II (Revenue) despite the fact that the same was extended to a person, who was junior to the petitioner in the seniority list. The junior person, to whom the promotion was granted in preference to the petitioner, is not party to the petition.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that keeping in view the order passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court dated 24.02.2020, the legal notice submitted by the petitioner already stood replied on 29.11.2016 i.e. much before the filing of the present petition giving the reasons for non-promotion of the petitioner.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner

submits that he be allowed to withdraw this petition with liberty to file a
KANCHAN 2022.03.15 09:39
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

fresh one in case the petitioner intends to do so by impleading all the persons, who are likely to be affected by the outcome of the decision.

Ordered accordingly.

March 14, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No