

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**SR. No.243**

**CRM-M-7765-2020 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision:15.03.2022**

**Ajay and others**

**...Petitioners**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and another**

**..Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH**

Present: Mr.S.K. Verma, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana

Mr. J.S.Dahiya, Advocate  
for respondent No.2-complainant.

[The aforesaid presence is being recorded through video conferencing since the proceedings are being conducted in virtual court.]

**SANT PARKASH, J.**

**CRM-9397-2022**

Application is allowed, as prayed for. Judgment dated 02.05.2019 is taken on record.

**Main case**

Petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.570 dated 18.09.2019 registered under Sections 148/149/323/324/341/506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station City, Jind, District Jind as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise (P-2).

While issuing notice of motion on 02.03.2020, this Court

directed both the parties to appear before the trial Court to record their statements with regard to genuineness of the compromise and the learned trial Court was directed to send a report to this Court in this regard. The report dated 09.11.2020 of Chief Judicial Magistrate, Jind, has been received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance of the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence.

Reply filed on behalf of respondent No.1 is taken on record.

Learned counsels appearing on behalf of both the parties admit that parties have settled their disputes.

In compliance of the order dated 02.02.2022, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record copy of judgment of this Court rendered in **Jagdish and others Vs State of Haryana and another (CRM-M-50124-2018)**, decided on 02.05.2019, wherein it has been observed that even the offence under Section 307 IPC as well as under Arms Act can be quashed on the basis of compromise.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of the Court settlement by way of compromise (Annexure P-2). The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has

been amicably settled between the parties and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466*, the present petition is allowed. Resultantly, FIR No.570 dated 18.09.2019 registered under Sections 148/149/323/324/341/506 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station City, Jind, District Jind as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners.

15.03.2022  
mks

(SANT PARKASH)  
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO

Whether Reportable: YES / NO