

208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-10802-2021

Date of Decision: 13.05.2022

VIKRAMJIT SHARMA

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Prabhjot Singh Mann, Advocate for
Mr. Jagtar Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Paras Jagga, Advocate, for the complainant.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

On 04.02.2022, the following order was passed:-

*“None has joined the proceedings on behalf
of the complainant.*

*As per the report, the mediation was
unsuccessful.*

*Learned State counsel has pointed out that
the arrest of the petitioner was stayed, he is yet to
join the investigation.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner contends
that the allegations as put forth in the FIR are false
and in fact the matter has also been gone into by
Scrutiny Committee under Crime against Women,
which did not endorse the earlier enquiry report of
DSP recommending to register an FIR against the
petitioner and his family members.*

*The complainant intended to go abroad but
her case was rejected and thereafter, the present
FIR has been registered on the basis of false
allegations. The complainant has received all her
articles of Istri Dhan. The mother and sister of the*

petitioner have been released on bail by the learned Court below.

Notice of Motion.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Adjourned to 03.05.2022.

Meanwhile, it is directed that in the event of arrest, the petitioner be admitted on interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer subject to the condition that he join the investigation and comply with other conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Baljinder Singh, has stated that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Keeping in view the entire circumstances, sufficient grounds are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, order dated 04.02.2022, vide which the petitioner has been granted interim bail, is made absolute.

Petition is allowed.

13.05.2022
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No