

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202-3

CRM-M-12109-2018

Date of Decision: August 02, 2022

Jitender Kumar Sarita

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

Subhash Chander Suri

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Hardeep Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Ram Bilash Gupta, Advocate for the respondent.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioner is that while suspending his sentence in the appeal, the appellate Court had imposed the condition to furnish FDR in favour of the complainant to the tune of Rs.2,75,000/-.

I have gone through the record and necessity to adjudicate the matter, this amount has to be deposited. It would be in the interest of justice, in case the appellate Court heard and decide the appeal on merits, it should be done on or before 30.09.2022.

Till that date, the necessity to deposit the aforementioned amount is exempted. It is further clarified that in case, the appellant/convict/petitioner fails to appear, the appellate court may proceed further by appointing Legal Aid Counsel in the matter. Similarly the complainant through his counsel not to seek adjournment in this matter before the appellate Court.

Registry is directed to communicate this Order to the concerned Court.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

August 02, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No