

CRM-M-11737-2021
Mahender vs. State of Haryana

CRM-M-8400-2021
Subhash Chander vs. State of Haryana

CRM-M-6746-2021
Ruchi Aggarwal vs. State of Haryana

CRM-M-10625-2021
Chandan Singh vs. State of Haryana

CRM-M-2191-2021
Keshav Kumar vs. State of Haryana and another

CRM-M-5077-2021
Ruchi Aggarwal vs. State of Haryana and another

CRM-M-7015-2021
Bhim Sain vs. State of Haryana and others

Present: Mr. Anurag Jain, Advocate,
Ms. Knnu Priya, Advocate,
for the petitioners in CRM-M-11737-2021, CRM-M-8400-2021 ,
CRM-M-6746-2021 and CRM-M-10625-2021.

None for the petitioners in CRM-M-2191-2021, CRM-M-5077-
2021 and CRM-M-7015-2021.

Mr. Anmol Malik, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Shubhra Singh, Advocate,
for the CBI.

Case heard *via* video conferencing.

CRM-M-11737-2021;
CRM-M-8400-2021;
CRM-M-6746-2021;
CRM-M-10625-2021

By these petitions, the petitioners seek the concession of
'anticipatory bail' under the provisions of Section 438 Cr.P.C., upon FIR
No.237, dated 07.08.2020, having been registered at Police Station Civil Lines,
Bhiwani, alleging therein the commission of offences punishable under the
provisions of Sections 420/467/468/471 of the IPC.

The petitioners in all these petitions having been admitted to interim bail vide orders passed on different dates, learned State counsel today submits on instructions from SI Ved Singh of Police Station Civil Lines, Bhiwani, that their custodial interrogation is not required presently.

That being so, without making any comment on the actual merits of the case, when the investigating officer himself does not require the custodial interrogation of the accused, this court would have nothing further to say even in terms of the ratio of the judgment of the Supreme Court in **M.C. Abraham v. State of Maharashtra, (2003) 2 SCC 649.**

Consequently, these petitions are allowed and the interim orders passed in favour of the petitioners herein, admitting them to interim bail, are made absolute on the same terms and conditions.

**CRM-M-2191-2021;
CRM-M-5077-2021;
CRM-M-7015-2021**

Learned State counsel seeks time to argue the matter in detail in these petitions, by two of which quashing of the FIR in question is sought and by one of which transfer of the investigation is sought, by the complainant in the FIR.

On his request, adjourned to 17.05.2022.

(A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases).

January 19, 2022
dharamvir//

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**