

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.204**

**CRM-M-10904-2021**

**Date of decision: 31.01.2022**

Mehar Singh and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Yashveer Kharb, Advocate  
for the complainant.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.23 dated 01.02.2021 registered under Sections 323, 324, 326, 506, 34 IPC at Police Station Israna, District Panipat.

On March 09, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up for hearing through video conferencing due to outbreak of COVID-19.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners were otherwise on regular bail and it is thereafter, the offence under Section 326 IPC was added in the case. He further submits that the injury attributed to petitioner No.1 Mehar Singh with knife was on the non-vital part on the person of complainant Satbir Singh.

Notice of motion for 19.05.2021.

In the meantime, in the event of arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on ad-interim bail to the satisfaction of the arresting officer. However, the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when directed by the investigating agency and

shall abide by the terms and conditions laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioners have joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that their custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Learned counsel for the complainant opposes the grant of bail to the petitioners on the ground that they have caused the grievous injuries upon the complainant for which he remained hospitalized.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioners in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State that the petitioners' custodial interrogation is not required as also for the reason that petitioner No.2 is attributed only simple injuries and petitioner No.1 is attributed the grievous injury on a non-vital part of the complainant i.e. his lower back, this Court is of the opinion that interim order of this Court dated 09.03.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute and it is so ordered.

**January 31, 2022**  
*Jyoti 1*

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable

Yes/No  
Yes/No