

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-7862 of 2019

Date of Decision: 16th May, 2022

Madan Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Vinay Kumar Arya, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

This is a petition seeking directions to the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the private respondents No. 4 to 8 (arrayed in the petition) and for conducting proper and fair investigation in FIR No. 339 dated 19.7.2018, under Sections 302/34 IPC, registered at police Station Kundli, District Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from ASI-Brij Pal submits that the accused have been convicted by the trial court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is not having any instructions to dispute the statement made by learned counsel for the State.

The petition is disposed of as infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)

JUDGE

16th May, 2022

mk

Whether reasoned/speaking

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No