

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.214

CRM-M-9935 of 2022

Date of Decision: 14.03.2022

Satyawan Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

SANT PARKASH, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. seeking grant of regular bail in case FIR No.342 dated 04.10.2021, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Arya Nagar, Rohtak.

It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present FIR as it is the case of the prosecution itself that the main accused is Satwinder Singh, who is the Registration Clerk. The petitioner purchased the vehicle in question from one Ram Niwas, who is a car agent and had purchased the said vehicle in auction in the same year. All the required documents of the petitioner were handed over to Ram Niwas, who had prepared the file and submitted the same to Satwinder Singh for registration of the vehicle. It is further contended that petitioner himself is a victim of the fraud committed by Ram Niwas in connivance with main accused Satwinder Singh, who has already been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 18.02.2022.

On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the prayer made on behalf of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner along with other co-accused was involved in a criminal conspiracy and got the vehicle registered on the basis of fake documents. However, he is not involved in any other criminal case.

Heard.

Keeping in view the fact that the main accused Satvinder Singh has already been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 18.02.2022; the present petitioner is in custody since 15.01.2022 and not involved in any other criminal case except the present one, no useful purpose would be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars. Moreover, conclusion of the trial will take some time keeping in view the prevailing pandemic situation.

Taking into consideration the totality of the circumstances and without commenting on the merits of the case, it is considered as appropriate to release the petitioner on regular bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

Ordered accordingly.

Accordingly, the present petition stands allowed.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

14.03.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No