

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

257(2 cases)

Date of decision : 12.12.2022

1.

CRM-M-9831-2022

Amit Verma

..... Petitioner

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

2.

CRM-M-46697-2022

Rajinder Kumar and Ors.

..... Petitioners

V/S

State of Haryana and Anr.

..... Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI**

Present: Ms. Saloni Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Deepshikha Chauhan, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Amit Prashar Advocate for respondent No.2.

\*\*\*\*

**AMARJOT BHATTI J. (ORAL)**

This order shall dispose of both the petitions as the same issue is involved in both the petitions.

The petitioners-Amit Verma (in CRM-M-9831-2022) and Rajinder Kumar, Priyanka and Manik (in CRM-M-46697-2022) have filed the instant petitions under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.0007 dated 16.02.2022, registered under Sections 323, 498-A, 506 of IPC at Police Station Women Police Station, District Panchkula and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 17.02.2022(Annexure P-2) between the parties.

The facts of the case are that a written complaint was filed by

Ananya Sharma against her husband Amit Verma, father-in-law Rajinder

Kumar, sister-in-law Priyanka and brother-in-law-Manik . It is submitted, that the marriage was arranged through common relatives and the talk regarding marriage was started in October, 2019. During this period, *Roka* ceremony, *Chunni Chadhana* ceremony and engagement ceremony took place on different dates and huge amounts were spent. The marriage took place in the month of November, 2020. Her parents had spent Rs.15 lacs on her marriage. The in-laws family was unhappy with the functions and the dowry articles given at the time of marriage. After marriage, the dispute started, she was physically and mentally tortured. The complainant had narrated incidents, when the marriage was not consummated. She tried to adjust in the matrimonial home. There was continuous torture from the hands of husband and his family. Her sister-in-law was interfering in her married life. She was depressed and suffered from mental agony. Later on her family also came to know about the physical problem of her husband. She performed all her duties as a daughter-in-law, but even then they were unhappy. Due to their continuous differences, the present complaint was filed and the FIR has been registered.

The petitioners in both the cases filed these petitions for the quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. The petitioners and respondent No.2 were directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. The detailed reports dated 27.07.2022 (in CRM-M-9831-2022) and 05.12.2022 (in CRM-M-46697-2022) regarding compromise has been received from the the court of Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class Panchkula. The statement of complainant-Ananya Sharma has been recorded in both the cases, where she confirmed the compromise with the petitioners. She confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any pressure, coercion from

any side and she has no objection regarding quashing of FIR

The petitioners also confirmed this fact in their separate statements. The statement of ASI Renu is also recorded who further confirmed that the accused are neither involved in any other case nor have been declared as proclaimed offenders.

Therefore, from the report of Judicial Magistrate, 1<sup>st</sup> Class, Panchkula, it is clear that the compromise has been effected between the parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, which is acceptable to both the parties. They have mutually settled all their disputes. Now they will be able to live peacefully and future litigation will also come to an end. Therefore, no purpose would be served with the continuation of criminal proceedings.

Therefore considering these facts the petition filed by the petitioners is accepted and FIR No.0007 dated 16.02.2022, registered under Sections 323, 498-A, 506 of IPC at Police Station Women Police Station, District Panchkula and the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of.

A photocopy of the order be placed on the file of other connected case.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)  
JUDGE

12.12.2022  
*Sunil Devi*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether Reportable:        | Yes/No |