

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(242)

CRR-565-2020

Date of decision: - 04.07.2022

Rajeev Bhatia

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Chawla, Advocate
for Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The challenge in the present revision petition is to the order dated 09.01.2020 passed by the Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar, whereby the application filed the petitioner under Section 165 of the Indian Evidence Act, 1872 for directing SHO, Police Station New Baradari, Jalandhar to produce the CCTV footage, has been rejected.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the outset, has submitted that since the FIR in the present case has already been quashed, thus, the present revision petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the above, the present revision petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

July 04, 2022
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No