

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRWP-1879-2020(O&M)**

**Date of Decision:-23.08.2022**

**Baba Lal Singh & Anr.**

.....Petitioners.

Versus

**State of Punjab & Ors.**

.....Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present:- None for the Petitioners.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Randhawa, Advocate for  
Mr. P.S. Ahluwalia, Advocate for respondent no.6.

\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)**

The prayer in the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of writ, order or direction especially in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondent nos.1 & 2 to protect the life and liberty and property of the petitioners at the hands of the respondent nos.3 to 6, who at the instance of respondent no.6 are trying to usurp the landed property of the Dera i.e. Shiromani Panth Akali Budha Dal (Panjwan Takhat) Hindustan situated in village Tarf Hazi and further prayer has been made to decide the representation Annexure P-3.

None appears on behalf of the petitioner.

The Counsel for the respondent no.6 while referring to the

Status report dated 27.05.2022 states that FIR No.53 dated 10.03.2020 under Sections 307, 353, 186, 323, 324, 188, 148, 149 IPC and 25 & 27 of Arms Act (Section 107, 120-B IPC added later on 15.03.2020 vide DDR No.18) and during investigation Section 25, 27, 54, 59 Arms Act were later deleted vide DDR No.21 dated 20.03.2021 was registered at Police Station Sultanpur Lodhi, District Kapurthala on the complaint of ASI Kuldeep Singh. The present petitioner namely, Baba Lala Singh who has been named in the FIR No.53 on the disclosure statement of one of the accused namely, Jagsir Singh and the petitioners were arrested on 20.03.2021. It is further submitted that the report under Section 173 was presented on 21.08.2020 and a supplementary challan against the petitioners are presented on 20.07.2021. It is further contended that as many as three other FIRs have been registered against petitioner Lal Singh and two other FIRs against petitioner no.2 Jathedar Man Singh as has been set out in para 10 & 11 of the said reply.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

The parties are free to avail their alternative remedies available to them in accordance with law.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE

August 23, 2022  
Vinay

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |