

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

116

CWP-4657-2022

Date of Decision :09.03.2022

Partap

..Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

(Through Video Conferencing)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Manoj Chahal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh Brar, Addl. A.G, Haryana

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, though, the service of the petitioner was regularised in pursuance to the order passed by this Court in CWP-3570 of 2014 on 07.05.2015, but even as of now, no consequential benefit of the said regularization of service has been extended to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for fixation of his salary as well as grant of other benefits such as ACP etc. but no benefits have been extended to the petitioner so far, which is causing prejudice to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that though, for the claim, which is being raised in the present writ petition, the petitioner had already submitted a representation dated 24.01.2019

(Annexure P/3) with the respondents, but as a period of more than three years has elapsed since the submission of the said representation, the petitioner be given another opportunity to approach the respondents by way of filing an appropriate fresh representation seeking the relief as being claimed by the petitioner in the present petition and a direction be issued to the respondents to decide the said representation in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raj Karan Singh Brar, Addl. A.G. Haryana, who has joined the proceedings through video conference accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and states that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner raising the grievance as being raised by him in the present writ petition, the same will be decided within a period of eight weeks by passing an appropriate speaking order from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned State counsel, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

March 09, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No