

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

(Through Video Conferencing)

TA-217-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 08.03.2022

Satbir

.....Petitioner

Versus

Pooja Rani and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-husband has approached this Court by way of instant application under Section 24 of the CPC for transfer of the case bearing No.HMA/349/2018 dated 11.07.2018 titled as 'Pooja Rani Vs. Satbir' i.e. petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (Annexure P-3) and MNT 125 case bearing No.222 dated 29.08.2019 titled as 'Pooja Rani and another Vs. Satbir' i.e. petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. (Annexure P-4) filed by the respondents, from the Court of District Judge (Family Court), Sirsa to any Court of competent jurisdiction at Hansi, District Hisar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that he is a man of very limited means and has aged parents to take care of in addition to his minor child and hence he is being put to great inconvenience to travel to Sirsa from Panipat on each and every date of hearing. A prayer has, therefore, been made that the case be transferred to Hansi as it would be midway between Sirsa and Panipat.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel for the

petitioner as to what was the stage of trial and proceedings in both the
aforementioned cases which are pending at Sirsa, this Court was
apprised that the trial was at an advance stage and was now fixed for
arguments.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and perused
the relevant material on record.

This Court, in the aforementioned circumstances, does not
deem it appropriate to transfer the above-mentioned cases to competent
Court at Hansi.

Dismissed.

08.03.2022

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No