

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

116

COCP No.410 of 2022

Date of Decision: 24.03. 2022

Pohlu

....Petitioner

VERSUS

Mohammad Akil and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: Mr. Akashdeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, A.A.G. Haryana.

VIKAS SURI, J.

This is a petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for initiating proceedings against the respondents for willful disobedience of the order dated 03.09.2021 (Annexure P/2) passed in CRWP-8068-2021.

Vide the order dated 07.03.2022, notice was issued to respondent No.1 to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him.

Compliance report dated 07.03.2022 by way of affidavit of Ram Chander Bidhan, Director General, Development and Panchayats Department, has been filed, along with which the order dated 04.03.2022 is appended as Annexure R-1. The said order has been passed in compliance of the order dated 03.09.2021.

At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the order dated 04.03.2022 (Annexure R-1), the order dated 03.09.2021 passed by this Court in CRWP-8068-2021 has now been

COC-410-2022

complied with and therefore, the petitioner does not wish to press the instant petition any further and submits that the same may be disposed of as such as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

Learned State counsel submits that there is no objection and the respondents tender unconditional apology for the delay that has occurred, which was neither willful nor intentional.

I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties and in view of the order dated 03.09.2021 passed in CRWP-8068-2021 having been complied with in spirit, as well as in view of the respective statements of learned counsel for the petitioner and the respondents, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is called for against the respondents.

Contempt petition is accordingly disposed of as such.

Rule is discharged.

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

March 24, 2022

Sachin M.

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No