

216-2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 9446 of 2022 (O&M)
Decided on: 10.05.2022

Jai Parkash

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present : Mr. Jainainder Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, DAG, Haryana.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioner prays for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.712 dated 21.12.2021, registered under Sections 147, 148, 149, 323, 325, 341, 506 IPC (Section 307 of IPC and Section 3 of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 added later on) at Police Station Sirsa City, District Sirsa.

The operative part of the order dated 07.03.2022, vide which interim anticipatory bail has been granted to the petitioner, is reproduced as under:-

“....It is contended that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case as he has not been named in the FIR. It is further contended that no particular injury has been attributed to the present petitioner and moreover, the role attributed to the present petitioner is identical to that of his co-accused, who has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court.

Notice of motion for 10.05.2022....”

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that, in pursuance to the order dated 07.03.2022, the petitioner has appeared before the Investigating Officer and has joined the investigation.

Counsel for the State, on instructions from HC Vikas, has not disputed the aforesaid fact and submits that the petitioner is no more required for further investigation.

In view of the above, this petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 07.03.2022 is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

10.05.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No