

119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-4518-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 08.03.2022**

UDHOKRISHAN

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Maninder Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL J. (ORAL)

It is submitted that dispute between the parties was settled on the basis of a compromise reached during the pendency of proceedings before the Divisional Commissioner, Ambala. The compromise was accordingly recorded and an appropriate order was passed. A copy of the said order has already been communicated to respondent Nos. 2 and 5. Yet, the necessary corrections in the revenue record have not been done. Even representation dated 2nd February, 2022 (Annexure P-5) has not been responded to.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana accepts notice and waives service.

Considering the nature of the order, reply is not necessary.

Disposed of with a direction to respondent No.5 to consider the

representation dated 2nd February, 2022 (Annexure P-5) in accordance with law and to take necessary steps thereafter in accordance with the order of the Divisional Commissioner, Ambala, within 8 weeks from the date of receipt of order.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

March 08, 2022
SONIA

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>