

110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-4391-2022

Date of Decision: 09.03.2022

M/s Neelon Bus Service

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

(Through Video Conference)

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, prayer of the petitioner is for directing respondent No.2 to frame a new permanent joint time table for operation of the mini buses as in the absence of the same, the mini buses are being operated by various operators, including respondent No.4, despite the fact that they do not have a valid permit for the same.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab, who has joined the proceedings through video conference, keeping in view the advance service of copy of the petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State and submits, on instructions from Mr. Naman Marken, R.T.A., Patiala, that in the present case, framing of a new time table for the operation of mini buses is already under consideration by the Department but as the data, which needs to be collected for the same, is taking time, the temporary time table already framed is in operation as of now.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not raising any grievance with regard to the difficulty being faced by the buses owned by them, which are to be operated with regard to the temporary time table, which is already continuing but the same is with regard to operation of mini buses by the operators without there being a permit in their favour, including respondent No.4.

Learned State counsel submits that as far as the grievance of the petitioner that the mini buses are being operated on the routes without there being a valid permit, in case any such evidence is brought to notice of the Department by the petitioner, appropriate action will be taken on the same without any delay, even if the said buses are found to belong to the Punjab Roadways.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent-Department with cogent evidence of the mini buses on being operated on the routes without there being any valid permit, so that appropriate action could be taken by the Department.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as not pressed with the liberty aforesaid.

09.03.2022*Apurva***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

2. Whether reportable : No