

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

226

CRM-M-10726-2021

Date of Decision: November 18, 2022

JAGDISH KAUR AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Vinay Kumar Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.

Present petition under Section 482 Cr. P.C. has been filed with a prayer to seek direction to respondent No.2 to take immediate necessary action and registered FIR on the basis of complaint filed by the petitioner on 28.02.2021 (Annexure P-1) in a time bound manner and also take necessary steps to protect life and liberty of the petitioners.

Notice of motion was issued in this case on 08.03.2021. Pursuant to which reply dated 18.09.2021 had been filed. Learned State counsel makes a reference to para Nos. 2 and 3 of the same, which reads thus:-

“2.That infact there is inter se dispute between the petitioners on one side and respondents no.4 and 5 on the other side and they are litigating with each other. However, on the statement of Parampreet Singh respondent no.4, one criminal case bearing FIR no.0307 dated 24.11.2020 PS: City Ferozepur u/s 324/323/34 IPC was registered against Jagdish Kaur and Manpreet Kaur petitioners on the allegations that they have caused injuries to Parampreet Singh respondent no.4.On the basis of the said FIR, the police raided the house of the accused of the said case i.e. Jagdish Kaur and Manpreet Kaur, but they keeping themselves away from the hands of the police and now in order to

CRM-M-10726-2021

:2:

counter blast that FIR, the present petition has been filed by the petitioners in order to put pressure upon the police not to arrest the petitioners in the aforesaid FIR.

3. That no forged and fabricated case has been registered against the petitioners and the police is not harassing them in any manner. However an application was moved by the petitioners Annexure P-1 dated 28.02.2021, but after giving the said application, the petitioners were called to record their statement in order to proceed further with the same, but they failed to come present and as such the said application has kept pending with the police.”

In view of the reply, learned counsel for the State submits that this case has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Accordingly, no further order is required to be passed in the matter and the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

November 18, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No