

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

CRM-M-9340-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22.08.2022

Anil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. K.S.Virk, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, DAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Anil.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No.16 dated 16.01.2022 at Police Station Safidon, District Jind, under Sections 392/452/506 IPC, wherein the allegations in nutshell are that on 15.01.2022 after the liquor vend of the complainant had been closed for the day, the petitioner got the same forcibly opened during night and forcibly took away a liquor bottle of brand 'White Blue' and also took away an amount of Rs.1800/- while threatening the complainant to kill him.
2. When the matter was taken up before this Court on 07.03.2022, the following order was passed:

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter-alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case; there is no other criminal case pending against the petitioner; the complainant in the case in hand has

sworn an affidavit to the effect that the petitioner has not committed the offence he is accused of and that the petitioner is also ready and willing to join and cooperate with the investigation as and when called by the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 22.08.2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. in the event of his arrest in FIR No.16, dated 16.01.2022, registered under Sections 392, 452, 506 IPC at Police Station Safidon, District Jind, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer."

3. Learned State counsel upon instructions has informed that pursuant to interim directions issued by this Court, the petitioner has since joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation.
4. Having regard to the nature of allegations and the fact that the petitioner has already joined investigation and is not required for any custodial interrogation, the petition is accepted and the interim directions issued by this Court vide order dated 07.03.2022 are hereby made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner shall join investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

22.08.2022

Vimal

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: **Yes/No**
Whether reportable: **Yes/No**