

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

CRM-M-10733-2018

Date of Decision: September 12, 2022

SAROOP SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. L. S. Sekhon, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, AAG, Haryana.

None for respondents.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for directing respondent Nos.1 to 4 to protect life and liberty of the petitioner which is in danger at the hands of private respondents.

Notice of motion was issued in this case on 14.03.2018.

Pursuant thereto a reply dated has been filed by way of affidavit of Mukesh Kumar, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalka on behalf of respondent-State. The relevant para of reply is as under:-

“That one another complaint no.133-Peshi Dated 05.04.2018 was filed against the petitioner by Kuldeep Singh respondent No.6 in the office of respondent No.4 the then Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula regarding cheating and the same was assigned to the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalka for further inquiry and accordingly, the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalka

served so many notices to the petitioner but, the petitioner despite knowing the same did not appear before the office of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalka, Thereafter, the Assistant Commissioner of Police, Kalka prepared the joint report on both the complaints on 08.05.2018 and the same was sent to the office of Deputy District Attorney, Panchkula vide serial No.456-ACP Kalka dated 08.05.2018 for legal opinion and the Deputy District Attorney, Panchkula Sh. Gurpal Singh gave his opinion on dated 09.08.2018 by marking the dispute as civil nature and on the basis of this legal opinion dated 09.08.2018, the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Panchkula “Seen and File” the complaint and the same was consigned to the record room.”

In view of the aforesaid, learned State counsel submits that this petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Learned counsel for the petitioner having gone through the copy of the reply also affirms the same.

Disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

September 12, 2022
rimpal

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No