

114

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.9533 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 07.03.2022

Manpreet Singh Bath

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of a direction to the official respondents not to call the petitioner and comply with the provisions of Section 160 Cr.P.C.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that on 10.02.2022, the anticipatory bail of the petitioner was rendered infructuous as it was stated by ASI Amarjit Singh that no person by the name of Manpreet Singh Bath (petitioner herein) is nominated in the FIR.

Counsel for the State has, however, on instructions from the SHO, Police Station Patran, Patiala, has submitted that in fact on the disclosure of Amandeep Singh, who was arrested at the spot, 02 persons were nominated i.e. Jar Singh and Preet Singh. It is also submitted that now during the investigation, it is brought to record that in fact, the person nominated as Preet Singh is in fact Manpreet Singh.

Faced with the same, counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to the

petitioner to file afresh application before the Court of Sessions.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

In case, the petitioner files an application within a period of 02 weeks from today, the same will be decided on merits, without being influenced by the order dated 10.02.2022, passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Patiala.

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

07.03.2022
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No