

[150] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF No.655 of 2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 07.04.2022

Sandeep Kaushik

...Petitioner

Versus

Amit Jha, IAS, Addl. Chief Secretary,
Haryana and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. AG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Notice has yet not been issued in the instant case.

[2] On 04.04.2022, the following order was passed:-

“ Learned Addl. A.G contends that order, Annexure P/1 dated 03.02.2022 in CWP No.1139 of 2022 has been complied with vide order dated 22.02.2022 and a decision has been taken on the representation dated 10.11.2021 filed by the petitioner, therefore, the instant petition be disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

Order dated 22.02.2022 passed in compliance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 03.02.2022, is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, who requests for an adjournment on account of non-availability of learned arguing counsel.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 07.04.2022.

To be shown in the list of urgent cases. ”

[3] Today, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of representation dated 10.11.2021 filed by the petitioner having been decided, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to challenge order 22.02.2022 by way of appropriate proceedings in accordance with law.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.04.2022
'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>