

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-A-789-MA-2017 (O & M)
Date of decision: 17.10.2022

Anita Goyal
Versus
...Applicant

Pardeep Mittal
.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- None for the applicant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

On 16.09.2022, none appeared on behalf of the applicant.
Registry was also directed to inform Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate, for the
applicant, about the pendency of the present case.

As per the office report, learned counsel for the applicant has
been informed through e-mail.

Even today, none has appeared on behalf of the applicant.

A perusal of the file would show that despite availing
sufficient opportunities, the applicant has failed to furnish the correct
address of the respondent. As a result thereof, notice could not be issued to
the respondent.

It appears that the applicant is not interested in pursuing with
the present application.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

17.10.2022
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No