

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.201

CRM-M-9448-2022

Date of decision: 13.12.2022

Puran Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Saurabh Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. K.K. Saini, Advocate, for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.410 dated 09.11.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 427 IPC at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

On 07.03.2022 this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that in the FIR in question, which does not pertain to any heinous offence, the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter and in this regard a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. has been filed before this Court seeking therein quashing of FIR in question in which notice has been issued for 01.04.2022.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 01.04.2022.

To be heard along with CRM-M-8485-2022.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in

the event of his arrest in FIR No.410 dated 09.11.2021 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 325, 326 and 427 IPC at Police Station Pehowa, District Kurukshetra, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that his custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

Learned counsel for the complainant submits that the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter with the petitioner.

In view of the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order; the statement made on behalf of the State that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required and that the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter, the interim order of this Court dated 07.03.2022, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is ordered to be made absolute.

December 13, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No