

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

209

CRM-M-9091-2022

Date of Decision: March 31, 2022

Suresh Kumar Mittal

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Sangram Singh Saron, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rana Harjasdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab

Mr. Tajender Joshi, Advocate for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Vide order dated 04.03.2022, this Court had granted interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.03.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further submits that he has also complied the conditions No.18 and 19 of the bail petition.

3. This fact has not been disputed by the learned State counsel. However, learned State counsel has opposed the bail. He further submits that in case this Court is inclined to give the bail to the petitioner then it should be subject to the strict and stringent conditions.

4. Given the nature of allegations, no pre-trial incarceration is required. Without commenting on the case's merits and the circumstances peculiar to this case, the petitioner makes a case for release on bail.

5. Given above, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 04.03.2022, is hereby made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

March 31, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No