

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-9114-2022
Date of decision: 26.04.2022**

Manpreet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. B. S. Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (Oral)

The petitioners pray for grant of anticipatory bail in in cross case bearing in FIR No. 203 dated 29.09.2021, under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 of the IPC (Section 307 IPC added later on) and Sections 25, 27 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Dharamkot, District Moga.

The operative part of the order dated 04.03.2022, vide which petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 were granted interim bail, whereas the present petition was dismissed as not pressed qua petitioner No. 1 Manpreet Singh, is reproduced below:

“Counsel for the petitioners, at the very outset, do not press this petition qua petitioner No.1 namely Manpreet Singh.

Dismissed being not pressed qua petitioner No.1.

Counsel for the petitioners on behalf of petitioners No.2 to 4 has argued that it is a case of version and cross-version, in which even from the petitioners’ side, the injuries were sustained and the complainant side armed with weapons like sticks, baseball bat, attacked the

petitioners' side and the FIR was registered. It is also submitted that in the cross-version, there is no serious allegations against petitioners No.2 to 4.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Joginder Pal Ratra, DAG, Punjab who is present in the Court accepts notice on behalf of the respondent – State. Counsel for the petitioners is directed to supply a copy of paperbook to counsel for the State during course of the day.

List again on 26.04.2022.”

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner Nos. 2 to 4, in pursuance to the order dated 04.03.2022, have already appeared before the SHO/Investigating Officer and have joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from the Investigating Officer, has not disputed the factual position and submits that petitioner Nos. 2 to 4 have joined the investigation and are no more required for any further investigation.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to petitioner Nos. 2 to 4, vide order dated 04.03.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

26.04.2022

Waseem Ansari

(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No