

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

119+ 263

CRM-M-11340-2021

Date of Decision: August 25, 2022

Mukesh Tyagi

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Kunal Muthreja, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Rajat Gautam, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Kriti Lohar, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Deepender Singh, Advocate for the intervenor (Vinay Gupta)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

CRM-28216-2022

Allowed as prayed for.

CRM-M-11340-2021

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was running chit fund in which the money was handed over by lots of people. One aggrieved person-Vinay Gupta, who has handed over Rs.40 lacs to the petitioner from time to time which he did not received back nor arrayed as party respondent. Being only one aggrieved person arrayed in the respondent-party, the present petition is not maintainable.

Given above, the present petition is dismissed with liberty reserved to the petitioner to arraign all the aggrieved persons as party-respondents. All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

It shall be clarified that dismissal of the present petition shall not come in the way in case any fresh petition is filed after petitioner is able to compromise the matter with all the aggrieved persons.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

August 25, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No