

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

CRM-M-9178-2022

Date of Decision:21.03.2022

Surinder Sharma @ Surinder Pal Sharma

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

(Through video conferencing)

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits at bar that the petitioner has complied with the condition mentioned in paragraph Nos. 19 & 20 of the order dated 04.03.2022 passed by this Court. He further submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

On instructions from the concerned police official, learned State counsel does not dispute the contention made by counsel for the petitioner.

Given above, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 04.03.2022 is made absolute.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

21.03.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No